

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 60, No. 81

Thursday, April 27, 1995

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Committee on Rulemaking

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Committee on Rulemaking of the Administrative Conference of the United States.

DATES: Tuesday, May 2, 1995, at 2:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Office of the Chairman, Administrative Conference, 2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 500, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Miller, Office of the Chairman, Administrative Conference of the United States, 2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20037. Telephone: (202) 254-7020.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee on Rulemaking will continue its discussion of a proposed recommendation on the review of existing agency rules.

Attendance at the meetings is open to the interested public, but limited to the space available. Persons wishing to attend should notify the Office of the Chairman at least one day in advance. The chairman of the committee, if he deems it appropriate, may permit members of the public to present oral statements at the meeting. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the committee before, during, or after the meeting. Minutes of the meeting will be available on request.

Dated: April 24, 1995.

Jeffrey S. Lubbers,
Research Director.

[FR Doc. 95-10434 Filed 4-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6110-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forms Under Review by Office of Management and Budget

April 21, 1995.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) since the last list was published. This list is grouped into new proposals, revisions, extensions, or reinstatements. Each entry contains the following information:

(1) Agency proposing the information collection; (2) Title of the information collection; (3) Form number(s), if applicable; (4) Who will be required or asked to report; (5) An estimate of the number of responses; (6) An estimate of the total number of hours needed to provide the information; (7) Name and telephone number of the agency contact person.

Questions about the items in the listing should be directed to the agency person named at the end of each entry. Copies of the proposed forms and supporting documents may be obtained from:

Department Clearance Officer, USDA, OIRM, Room 404-W Admin. Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 690-2118

Revision

- Foreign Agricultural Service Request for Vessel Approval/Request for Vessel Approval (Cotton) CCC-105, C-105 (Cotton), Business or other for-profit; 161 responses; 81 hours, Connie B. Delaplane (202) 720-3664.

- Agricultural Marketing Service, Sweet Onions Grown in the Walla Walla Valley of Southeast Washington and Northeast Oregon—Marketing Order No. 956 FV-112, FV-113, FV-113A, FV-114, and FV-115 Business or other for-profit; Farms; 26 responses; 8 hours, Teresa L. Hutchinson (503) 326-2724.

Extension

- Animal & Plant Health Inspection, 9 CFR 85 Pseudorabies, VS Form 7-1, Farms; Federal Government; State, Local or Tribal Government; 80,200 responses; 1,688 hours, Arnold C. Taft (301) 734-4916.

New Collection

- Forest Service, Attitudes & Values of Southern Appalachian Residents Toward Natural Environmental Issues, Individuals or households; 1,200 responses; 300 hours, Dr. Michael Tarrant (706) 542-4332.

Larry K. Roberson,

Deputy Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-10288 Filed 4-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-01-M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Mississippi Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a factfinding meeting of the Mississippi Advisory Committee will convene from 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 8:30 p.m. Wednesday and Thursday, May 24-25, 1995, at the Old Supreme Court Chamber, 400 High Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39202. The purpose of the factfinding meeting is to collect information on police-community relations in the city of Jackson.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Melvin L. Jenkins, Director of the Central Regional Office, 816-426-5253 (TTY 816-426-5009). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, April 19, 1995.

Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 95-10304 Filed 4-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-601]

Brass Sheet and Strip From Canada; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has conducted an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Canada. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of this merchandise to the United States and the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992. The review indicates the existence of dumping margins for this period.

We have preliminarily determined that U.S. sales have been made below the foreign market value (FMV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the United States price (USP) and the FMV.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 27, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sally Hastings, Chip Hayes, or John Kugelman, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4366, 482-5047, or 482-0649.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On January 12, 1987, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (52 FR 1217) the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Canada. Based on timely requests for review, on March 8, 1993, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(c), we initiated an administrative review of Wolverine Tube (Canada) Inc. (Wolverine), for the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992 (58 FR 12931). The Department is now conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are brass sheet and strip, other than leaded and tin brass sheet and strip. The chemical composition of the covered products is currently defined in the Copper Development Association (C.D.A.) 200 Series or the Unified Numbering System (U.N.S.) C2000. Products whose chemical composition is defined by other C.D.A. or U.N.S. series are not covered by this order.

The physical dimensions of the products covered by this review are brass sheet and strip of solid rectangular cross section over 0.006 inches (0.15 millimeters) through 0.188 inches (4.8 millimeters) in finished thicknesses or gauge, regardless of width. Coiled, wound-on-reels (traverse wound), and cut-to-length products are included.

During the review period such merchandise was classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheadings 7409.21.00 and 7409.29.00. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive. This review covers one Canadian manufacturer/exporter, Wolverine, and the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992.

USP

We based USP on purchase price, in accordance with section 772 of the Tariff Act. We calculated purchase price based on delivered, duty-paid prices. In accordance with section 772(d)(2) of the Tariff Act, we made deductions for movement expenses and customs duty. Movement expenses included fees for brokerage and handling, and U.S. and foreign inland freight.

When comparisons were made to home market sales, we adjusted USP for taxes in accordance with our practice as outlined in *Silicomanganese from Venezuela, Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 59 FR 31204, June 17, 1994 (*Silicomanganese*).

No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

FMV

The Department used home market price to calculate FMV, as defined in section 773 of the Tariff Act. Because the home market was viable, we compared U.S. sales with sales of such merchandise in the home market.

FMV was based on packed, delivered prices to unrelated home market purchasers. We made adjustments, where applicable, for home market credit, post-sale inland freight, U.S. credit costs, GST, and U.S. packing costs.

We calculated FMV using monthly weighted-average prices of brass sheet and strip having the same characteristics as to alloy, product code, width group, and gauge group (as was done in earlier proceedings).

We also adjusted the amount of the home market GST included in FMV in accordance with our methodology in *Silicomanganese*.

No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

Cost Test

Since the information supporting petitioners' allegation provided reasonable grounds to believe or suspect home market sales below cost, we investigated whether Wolverine sold such merchandise in the home market at prices below the cost of production (COP), in accordance with section 773(b) of the Tariff Act. In determining whether to disregard home market sales made at prices below the COP, we examined whether such sales were made in substantial quantities over an extended period of time, and whether such sales were made at prices which permitted recovery of all costs within a reasonable period of time in the normal course of trade.

We requested COP information on an alloy-specific basis because we have determined that alloy is a primary component and a major differentiating factor of brass sheet and strip products. The Court of International Trade (CIT) upheld the Department's use of alloy-specific information in *Hussey Copper, Ltd., et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 94-81 (May 16, 1994). In response to our request, Wolverine reported COP as the sum of costs for materials, labor, factory overhead, selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses, and packing for each product code. Wolverine's product code, however, is a general categorization which does not distinguish between various alloys, gauges, and widths. Moreover, Wolverine did not suggest any allocation methodology that would result in alloy-specific data for the fabrication and packing costs for the class or kind of subject merchandise. As a result, we used, as partial best information available, in accordance with section 776(c) of the Tariff Act, the fabrication and packing cost portions of petitioners' data which were submitted in the sales-below-cost allegation. Since metal costs were maintained on an alloy-specific basis, we did use respondent's submitted metal prices from its daily metal price list for this element and its company data to compute SG&A expenses.

When less than 10 percent of the home market sales of a model were at prices below the COP, we did not disregard any sales of that model. When 10 percent or more, but not more than 90 percent, of the home market sales of a particular model were determined to be below cost, we excluded the below-cost home market sales from our calculation of FMV, provided that these below-cost home market sales were made over an extended period of time. When more than 90 percent of the home market sales of a particular model were made below cost over an extended period of time, we disregarded all home market sales of that model in our calculation of FMV.

To determine whether sales below cost had been made over an extended period of time, we compared the number of months in which sales below cost occurred for a particular model to the number of months in which that model was sold. If the model was sold in fewer than three months, we did not disregard below-cost sales unless there were below-cost sales of that model in each month sold. If a model was sold in three or more months, we did not disregard below-cost sales unless there were sales below cost in at least three of the months in which the model was sold. See *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From the Federal Republic of Germany; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* (56 FR 31693, July 11, 1991).

Wolverine has not submitted information indicating that any of its sales below cost were made at prices which would have permitted "recovery of all costs within a reasonable period of time in the normal course of trade," as required by section 773(b)(2) of the Tariff Act. Therefore, we have no basis for concluding that the costs of production of such sales have been recovered within a reasonable period of time. As a result of our investigation, we disregarded Wolverine's below-cost sales made over an extended period of time.

In accordance with section 773(a)(2), we used constructed value (CV) as FMV for those U.S. sales for which there were insufficient sales of the comparison home market model at or above the COP. We calculated CV in accordance with section 773(e) of the Tariff Act. CV includes the cost of materials and fabrication for the exported merchandise, plus SG&A expenses, profit, and packing. Because the respondent did not provide alloy-specific information on, nor any allocation of, fabrication and packing costs, we used the data supplied by

petitioners for these cost factors in their submission of August 29, 1993. In our calculation of the SG&A expenses, we computed the actual percentage of costs using figures supplied by the respondent in its COP response. We multiplied that actual figure by the cost of manufacturing (COM). The COM is the sum of the cost of materials, which was supplied by the respondent, and the fabrication costs, which were supplied by the petitioners. We used the computed SG&A expenses since they were greater than the statutory minimum of 10 percent. Because the respondent's reported profit was less than eight percent of the COM plus general expenses, for profit we used the statutory minimum of eight percent.

For those models that had sufficient above-cost sales, we calculated FMV using home market prices to unrelated purchasers as described above.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of our comparison of USP to FMV, we preliminarily determine that the following margin exists for the period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 1992:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Wolverine	24.52

Interested parties may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication or the first business day thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted no later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in those comments, may be filed no later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or at a hearing.

The Department will determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and FMV may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the

publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be that rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 8.10 percent, the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation (51 FR 44319).

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 19, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-10413 Filed 4-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[C-559-802]

Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From Singapore; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Consideration of Revocation and Intent to Revoke Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Consideration